## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

BROCK FREDIN,

Case No. **0:17-CV-03058-SRN-HB** 

Plaintiff,

--against--

LINDSEY MIDDLECAMP,

PLAINTIFF'S CORRECTED SUR-REPLY

Defendant.

Plaintiff Brock Fredin ("Plaintiff"), proceeding *pro se*, hereby submits this sur-reply in response to Defendant Lindsey Middlecamp's ("Defendant") motion for summary judgement including Defendant's motion to strike response and subsequent reply papers:

## **SURREPLY**

1. At issue in this case is Defendant's republication and endorsement of *per se* defamatory statements. Specifically, Defendant posted "[t]he power of sharing. Within hours of the stalking post going up, a rape survivor comes forward. He remains free. (Shared w/her permission)"



## CardsAgstHarassment @CardsAgstHrsmt · Feb 22

The power of sharing. Within hours of the stalking post going up, a rape survivor comes forward. He remains free. (Shared w/ her permission)

2. In Plaintiff's submissions, including submissions under oath, and during deposition testimony, Plaintiff has vehemently denied any such allegations.

CASE 0:17-cv-03058-SRN-HB Doc. 207 Filed 09/28/20 Page 2 of 4

3. Defendant asserts that her statements are true. In support of this, she has

submitted a phony unsigned affidavit from Ms. Kreil. Notably, Ms. Kreil was not

deposed during discovery, not listed as a witness, and her name has been withheld for

several years while this matter was pending. Even more important, Ms. Kreil's

affirmation was attached in summary judgement reply papers and not Defendant's

original summary judgement motion in an attempt to unethically sandbag Plaintiff with

new alleged facts.

4. The standard for granting a summary judgement motion is that the movant

i.e. Defendant must show "there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact". Fed. R.

Civ. Proc. R. 56(a) The key material issue in this case is whether Defendant and Ms.

Kreil's statements that Plaintiff "raped" Ms. Kreil are true, if they are (which they are

not), Defendant is entitled to a defamation defense of truth. If the statements are not

true, Defendant's statements are *per se* defamatory entitling Plaintiff to relief.

5. The key material fact i.e. did Plaintiff rape Ms. Kreil is without question in

dispute. Plaintiff adamantly denies raping Ms. Kreil. Defendant and Ms. Kreil assert

that Plaintiff did rape Ms. Kreil. Consequently, there is a genuine dispute as to the

material facts of this case and summary judgement is therefore inappropriate and the

material issue whether or not Plaintiff raped Ms. Kreil must be determined by a jury.

Dated: September 28, 2020

s/ Brock Fredin

Brock Fredin

Bletili

2

Saint Croix Co., WI 54016 (612) 424-5512 (tel.) brockfredinlegal@icloud.com Plaintiff, *Pro Se*  **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on September 28, 2020, I filed the foregoing with

the Clerk of Court through the CM/ECF system which will automatically send

electronic mail notifications of such filing to the CM/ECF registered participants as

identified on the Electronic Mail Notice List.

K. Jon Breyer

Dated: September 28, 2020

Ble Heli s/Brock Fredin

Brock Fredin

(tel.) 612-424-5512

brock fredinlegal@icloud.com

Plaintiff, Pro Se